IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

MONDAY, THE 1ST JANUARY 2007 / 11TH PAUSHA 1928

WP(C).No. 31675 of 2006(U)

PETITIONER:

K.E.ARAVINDAKSHAN,
S/O.CHANDUKUTTY NAMBIAR, AGED 42 YEARS,
RESIDING AT 'KAMALALAYAM', POST KUTTIYATTUR,
(VIA) MAYYIL, KANNUR-670 602.

BY ADV. SRI.V.RAMKUMAR NAMBIAR

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE GENERAL MANAGER,
SYNDICATE BANK, HEAD OFFICE MANIPPAL.

2. THE REGIONAL MANAGER,
SYNDICATE BANK, KANNUR.

3. THE BRANCH MANAGER,
SYNDICATE BANK, CHAKKARAKKAL, KANNUR DIST.

BY ADV. SRI.M.P.ASHOK KUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 01/01/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.K. DENESAN, J.

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
W.P.(C) No. 31675 OF 2006 U
= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

Dated this the 1st January, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is working as Part-time Sweeper under the 3rd respondent who is the Branch Manager of Syndicate Bank. Having regard to the experience gained and the service rendered by her and on the strength of Ext. P1 certificate, she filed Ext. P7 representation to consider her case for inclusion in Panel II for the post of Attender. It is the grievance of the petitioner that her representation has not been considered by the respondent. The limited prayer made by the petitioner in this writ petition, among other, is for a direction to the 2nd respondent to consider and dispose of Ext. P7 representation for inclusion of her name in Panel II for the post of Attender.

2. When the W.P.(C) came up for consideration on 29-11-2006, counsel for the respondents sought for time to seek instructions. Accordingly, the writ petition was posted on 21-12-2006. On that day also, on the request made by the counsel for the respondent, this case was adjourned and has been posted to today.

Counsel for the respondents submits that some more time may be granted for collecting the details and presenting the same for the consideration of this Court.

3. I do not think that grant of further time is necessary, particularly in view of the limited prayer made by the petitioner.

4. Hence, this writ petition is disposed of directing the 2nd respondent to consider Ext. P7 on merits, pass appropriate orders in accordance with law and communicate the same to the petitioner within one month on the petitioner producing a copy of the judgment before the 2nd respondent for due compliance.

K.K. DENESAN
JUDGE

jan/